



Republic of the Philippines

Department of Education

SOCCSKSARGEN REGION SCHOOLS DIVISION OF SARANGANI

19 Apr 2024

DIVISION MEMORANDUM

No. **101** , s. 2024

DISSEMINATION OF DEPED MEMORANDUM DM-OUHROD-2024-0696 (REVISED SGC FUNCTIONALITY ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS)

To: Assistant Schools Division Superintendent Public Schools District Supervisors Public Secondary School Heads All Others Concerned

- 1. For the information, guidance, and appropriate action of all concerned, enclosed is a copy of DepEd Memorandum DM-OUHROD-2024-0696 dated 11 April 2024, titled **Revised SGC Functionality Assessment Tool for Secondary Schools**.
- 2. For inquiries, contact Mr. Romeo L. Martin at romeo.martin@deped.gov.ph.
- 3. Widest dissemination of this Memorandum is desired.

RUTH L. ESTACIO PhD, CESO V Schools Division Superintendent

Encl.: As stated Reference: As stated

To be indicated in the <u>Perpetual Index</u> under the following subjects:

ASSESSMENTS COMMUNICATIONS CORRESPONDENCE COUNCIL

RLM/SGOD-SMNS/DM - dissemination of deped memorandum dm-ouhrod-2024-0696 (revised sgc functionality assessment tool for secondary schools) 0501/19 April 2024







Address: Capitol Compound, Maribulan, Alabel, Sarangani Province

Telephone Nos.: (083) 508-2039 Website: depedsarangani.org

Email Address: sarangani@deped.gov.ph



Republika ng Pilipinas

Department of Education

OFFICE OF THE UNDERSECRETARY **HUMAN RESOURCE AND ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

MEMORANDUM DM-OUHROD-2024-0696

TO : REGIONAL DIRECTORS

SCHOOLS DIVISION SUPERINTENDENTS

ALL OTHERS CONCERNED

FROM WILFREDO'E. CABRAL

Regional Director

Officer-In-Charge, Office of the Undersecretary Human Resource and Organizational Development

SUBJECT : REVISED SGC FUNCTIONALITY ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR

SECONDARY SCHOOLS

DATE : April 11, 2024

The Implementing Guidelines on the Establishment of School Governance Council (SGC) or DepEd Order 26, s. 2022, mandates all public schools to establish their respective SGCs to strengthen the role of school stakeholders as partners in providing learners accessible and quality education, upholding children's rights, and promoting respect and well-being in the learning environment to ensure effective education service delivery.


To strengthen the implementation of the policy, the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool for Secondary Schools was developed to collect information in identifying priority areas that may need support and assistance from the different governance levels. The collection of baseline information commenced last September 2022 and concluded last March 2023. Consequently, the collection of endline information began last September 25, 2023 and shall be concluded on June 21, 2024.


However, last March 8, 2024, there was an unexpected interruption in the accomplishment of the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool due to the exceeded quota of the workspace storage of the Department of Education Google Accounts. This put the submission and validation of the SGC MOVs on hold for one (1) month.


The recovery of Google Accounts ensued last April 02, 2024, however the recurrence of the technical issue is non-guaranteed. In view of this, the **Bureau of Human**













Resource and Organizational Development-School Effectiveness Division (BHROD-SED) closed the links to the 2023 SGC Tool and reports and transferred them to a new Google account with bigger storage. Thus, new links to the tool and reports shall be provided.

In view of the foregoing, the following enclosed documents are issued for guidance and reference:

Enclosure No. 1 -	SGC Functionality	Assessment Tool	(for reference)

Enclosure No. 2 - Revised Process Flow of the Data Collection and Report Generation of the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool

Enclosure No. 3 - Report 2- SDO Validation Report
 Enclosure No. 4 - Resubmission Monitoring Sheet
 Enclosure No. 5 - Report 3 - RO Monitoring Tool


Enclosure No. 6 - Schedule of the Resumption of Data Collection, Validation and Report Generation of the Tool

For queries and concerns, kindly contact **Ms. Jeanne Nicole Grageda/Mr. Sebastian Cheng** of BHROD-SED through email at bhrod.sed@deped.gov.ph.

For dissemination and appropriate action.

 $[BHROD ext{-}SED/Grageda]$







For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

In relation to the mandate of DO. 26, s. 2022 on the establishment of School Governance Council (SGC) and to ensure that all public schools are able to create functional SGCs, the Bureau of Human Resource and Organizational Development – School Effectiveness Division (BHROD-SED) shall conduct a monitoring and evaluation of SGCs through the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool ("SGC Tool").

UNDERSTANDING THE SGC FUNCTIONALITY ASSESSMENT TOOL

This **SGC Functionality Assessment Tool** aims to assess the functionality of SGCs in secondary schools. The Tool consists of the following components:

• Two (2) Main Purposes

- 1. To provide structure for shared governance
- 2. To provide a **feedback mechanism**

• Twelve (12) Functionality Indicators

Functionality indicators are anchored on the two main purposes of the SGC and can be found in Section VII of DO 26, s. 2022. In this SGC Tool, these indicators are grouped according to the functions per purpose. Additionally, a brief description on how the Functionality Indicator can be observed is also included to provide schools with further guidance in establishing functional SGCs.

• Nineteen (19) Functionality Sub-indicators

In this SGC Tool, there are seven (7) functionality indicators that have sub-indicators. Sub-Indicators are the specific activities that enable the achievement of their corresponding functionality indicator. These are divided into:

- A. **Primary Sub-Indicators**, which are the sub-indicators that **determine the achievement** of their corresponding Functionality Indicators, and thus must be met; and
- B. **Other Sub-Indicators**, which are the sub-indicators that SGCs may comply with, only when the Primary Sub-Indicator is met and applicable.

The result of the assessment shall be used as information in identifying priority areas that may need support and assistance from the different governance levels.

Determining a Functional SGC Through the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool

For an SGC to be considered functional, the Council must be able to **comply with at least 10 out of the 12 (at least 80%) Functionality Indicators** based on the **minimum MOVs** submitted. Thus, at a minimum, functional SGC complies with the following requirements:

- 1. **Functionality Indicators** without sub-indicators are observed (*Yes to all Functionality Indicators* without sub-indicators);
- 2. **Primary Sub-Indicators**, under Functionality Indicators with sub-indicators, are observed (*Yes to all Primary Sub-Indicators*);
- 3. Minimum MOVs are submitted.

The **Other Sub-Indicators** are also <u>recommended to be attained</u> to further strengthen the SGC's functionality. **Additional MOVs** may be submitted when available to showcase its advanced practices. In addition, ensure that the MOVs are complete and readily available during data validation of the SDOs and/or BHROD-SED.



For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

SCHOOL P	ROFILE		
School Name	· :		
School ID	:	Region	:
Contact Number	:	Division	:
SGC PROF	ILE		
Establishme	nt of SGC		Date of Establishment
The sch	vly-Established SGC ool has formed the SGC after C was established in SY 2022-2	the issuance of DO 26, s. 2022.	(Month and Year)
□ Wit The scho	th Existing SGC and already has an SGC before Twas restructured in accordance	the issuance of DO 26, s. 2022	(Month and Tear)
Name of SGC	:		

HOW TO ANSWER THE SGC FUNCTIONALITY ASSESSMENT TOOL (PRINTED)

1. Functionality Indicators

Put a check mark (/) on the appropriate box:

- □ **YES** (if the indicator is met)
- □ NO (if the indicator is not met)

2. Sub-Indicators

If the Primary Sub-Indicator is not met, no need to proceed to complying with Other Sub-Indicator/s.

3. Means of Verification (MOVs)

Put a check mark (/) on the box that corresponds to the available MOV.

If **YES** to the Functionality Indicator, prepare the corresponding minimum MOVs. To showcase the SGC's advanced practices, Additional MOVs may also be submitted. If **NO**, no need to prepare or present any MOVs. In addition, ensure that the MOVs are complete and readily available when answering the ONLINE SGC Functionality Assessment Tool and during data validation of the SDOs and / or BHROD-SED

For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

MAIN PURPOSE: STRUCTURE FOR SHARED GOVERNANCE

As a structure for shared governance, the SGC shall be an avenue where the democratic process of consultation with the school's internal and external stakeholders in the decision-making will be observed.

FUNCTION 1:

The SGC serves as a collective and consultative body for school plans, programs, activities, and strategic directions.

FUNCTIONALITY INDICATORS (FI)
1, 2, and 3

FI1	FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 1	
	THE SGC HAS MEMBERS WHO ARE INFORM EXERCISE THEIR ROLES AND RESPONSIB A functional SGC has members who participate in the decise	ILITIES IN THE COUNCIL.
FI1A	PRIMARY SUB-INDICATOR	
□ YE□ NO	The SGC has called meetings in order to create a venue for its decision-making process.	
	If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
	Minimum MOVs □ Notice of meeting (at least 1 of 4 Regular Meetings)	Additional MOVs Notices of meetings (2 to 4 Regular Meetings)
	induce of meeting (at least 1 of 1 regular meetings)	induces of incernigs (2 to 1 regular freeings)

NOTE If the Primary Sub-Indicator is not met (NO), no need to comply with the Other Sub-Indicators.

]	FI1B	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	SGC members have been inducted and oriented of their roles and responsibilities as members and officers of the Council.	
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs ☐ Membership / Induction Certificates (7 to 15 voting members) or ☐ SGC Resolution on the Official List of Voting Members (7 to 15 voting members)	Additional MOVs ☐ Membership / Induction Certificates
1	FI1C	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	The SGC has organizational chart, including no	n-voting members, if applicable.
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs □ Draft / Operative Organizational Chart	Additional MOVs Approved / Adopted Organizational Chart

FI2 **FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 2** THE SGC HAS ESTABLISHED ITS POSITION AS A CONSULTATIVE BODY IN DEVELOPING **SCHOOL POLICIES.** $A functional SGC \ has \ knowledge \ on \ the \ needs \ of \ the \ school \ as \ a \ result \ of \ its \ established \ connection/relationship \ with \ the$ school committees/associations/organizations. FI2A PRIMARY SUB-INDICATOR YES The SGC has participated actively in the formulation of the SIP/AIP and other DepEd □ NO programs, projects, and activities. If YES, prepare the following MOVs: **Minimum MOVs Additional MOVs** □ Minutes of Meeting with SPT on SIP / AIP □ Minutes of Meetings with SPT on SIP / AIP (at least 1 meeting) (2 or more meetings) programs, projects, and activities (at least 1 meeting) SGC's Action Plan □ SGC Resolution relative to the indicator (at least 1)

For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

NOTE If the Primary Sub-Indicator is not met (NO), no need to comply with the Other Sub-Indicators.

F	112B	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	The SGC has passed recommendations to the Sprograms, and/or interventions raised by staken	
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs: Minimum MOVs □ SGC Resolution relative to the indicator (at least 1)	Additional MOVs GC Resolutions relative to the indicator (2 or more)
F	FI2C	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	The SGC has attended meetings on the import	ance of upholding the rights of the child.
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meeting with CPU, CPC, or other similar DepEd organizations (at least 1 meeting)	Additional MOVs Minutes of Meetings with CPU, CPC, or other similar DepEd organizations (2 or more meetings) SGC Resolution on promoting the rights of the child (at least 1)

FI3 **FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 3** THE SGC HAS CONDUCTED REGULAR SGC MEETINGS AS PRESCRIBED IN THE DO 26, S. 2022 (IMPLEMENTING GUIDELINES ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SCHOOL GOVERNANCE COUNCIL). A functional SGC has understood the process of discussing matters that results to the formulation of action plan responsive to school concerns. FI3A **PRIMARY SUB-INDICATOR** \Box YES The SGC has decided matters through a resolution, signed by all SGC voting members. □ NO If YES, prepare the following MOVs: Minimum MOVs **Additional MOVs** □ SGC Resolution (at least 1) □ SGC Resolutions (2 or more)

□ SGC's Action Plan

NOTE If the Primary Sub-Indicator is not met (NO), no need to comply with the Other Sub-Indicators.

FI3B	Other Sub-Indicator	
□ YES□ NO	Agenda of meetings have included programs, projects, and activities that are supportive of the SIP, AIP, and other school priorities.	
	If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
	Minimum MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meeting mentioning meeting agenda (at least 1 meeting)	Additional MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meeting mentioning meeting agenda (2 or more meetings)
FI3C	Other Sub-Indicator	
□ YES□ NO	All regular meetings have been conducted with membership.	a quorum of 50%+1 of the total SGC voting
	If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
	Minimum MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meetings specifying required quorum (at least 1 of 4 Regular Meetings)	Additional MOVs Minutes of Meetings specifying required quorum (2 - 4 Regular Meetings)
FI3D	Other Sub-Indicator	
□ YES□ NO	Regular meetings have minutes.	
	If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
	Minimum MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meeting (at least 1 of 4 Regular Meetings)	Additional MOVs Minutes of Meetings (2 - 4 Regular Meetings)

For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

FUNCTION 2:

The SGC serves as the overall coordinating body that will synergize, harmonize and put together the work of the different school committees, including but not limited to the SPT, School Disaster Risk Reduction Management (DRRM) Committee, Child Protection Committee (CPC), Supreme Pupil Government / Supreme Student Government, Faculty Club, Non-teaching Association, PTA, Alumni Associations, DepEd-recognized teacher organizations, and National Employees Union at school level, among others.

FUNCTIONALITY INDICATORS (FI)

4 and 5

I	F14	FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 4	
		THE SGC HAS ORGANIZED MEETINGS WITH SCHOOL COMMITTEES AND ORGANIZATIO A functional SGC has ensured the involvement of different sproposed and existing programs, projects, and activities.	
F	I4A	PRIMARY SUB-INDICATOR	
	YES NO	The SGC has organized meetings with diffe proposed and existing programs, projects,	
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meeting with stakeholders on programs, projects, and activities (at least 1 meeting)	Additional MOVs Minutes of Meetings with stakeholders on programs, projects, and activities (2 or more meetings) SGC's Action Plan SGC Resolution relative to the indicator (at least 1)

NOTE If the Primary Sub-Indicator is not met (NO), no need to comply with the Other Sub-Indicators.

F	T4B	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	The SGC has been represented in meetings organized by different school committees and organizations.	
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs ☐ Any document reporting the discussion from the meeting attended (at least 1 meeting)	Additional MOVs ☐ Any documents reporting the discussion from the meeting attended (2 or more meetings) or ☐ Copy of the Minutes of Meetings from school committees and organizations
I	FI4C	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	The SGC has met and discussed with school stal planning and resource use.	seholders its role as oversight on school
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meetings with different school stakeholders (at least 1 meeting)	Additional MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meetings with different school stakeholders (2 or more meetings) or ☐ SGC Resolution relative to the indicator (at least 1)

FI5 FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 5

THE SGC HAS COORDINATED WITH THE SCHOOL HEAD THE CONCERNS OF THE DIFFERENT SCHOOL COMMITTEES AND ORGANIZATIONS TO SYNCHRONIZE PROGRAMS, PROJECTS, AND ACTIVITIES IN THE SCHOOL.

 $A \ functional \ SGC \ has \ been \ a \ conduit \ between \ the \ different \ school \ committees \ and \ organizations \ and \ the \ school \ management.$

FI5A PRIMARY SUB-INDICATOR

□ YES□ NO

The Co-Chairpersons have communicated the direction of the SGC to the School Head.

If **YES**, prepare the following MOVs:

Minimum MOVs

 Copy of the communication / transmittal letter to the School Head reflecting the direction of the SGC

Additional MOVs

- Any document with citations on SGC's recommendation released by the school management / School Head
- School Head's acknowledgment of SGC (SOSA, speeches, newsletter, etc.)

For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

FUNCTION 3:

The SGC serves as a platform cultivating the spirit of *bayanihan* to support the school and encourage stakeholder participation in the implementation of DepEd policies and programs, while promoting equal opportunity for all regardless of age, gender, disability, marital status, ethnicity, and religious beliefs.

FUNCTIONALITY INDICATORS (FI)

6, 7, and 8

FI₆ **FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 6** THE SGC HAS TAKEN PART IN THE CONDUCT OF NEEDS-BASED AND APPROPRIATE STAKEHOLDER-INITIATED PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES I.E., BRIGADA ESKWELA, **GULAYAN SA PAARALAN, ETC..** A functional SGC has supported stakeholder-initiated programs and activities by encouraging active participation of the FI6A PRIMARY SUB-INDICATOR YES The SGC has been involved in the development of stakeholder-initiated programs and □ **NO** activities. If YES, prepare the following MOVs: Minimum MOVs **Additional MOVs** Minutes of Meeting with stakeholders on stakeholder-Concept note / Project brief, or similar document initiated programs and activities (at least 1 meeting) (2 or more) Concept note / Project brief, or similar document (at □ Copy of the project proposal on stakeholder-initiated least 1) programs and activities SIP, AIP, SRC, and SMEA (specify the page in the reports)

NOTE If the Primary Sub-Indicator is not met (NO), no need to comply with the Other Sub-Indicators.

F	FI6B	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	The SGC has monitored and evaluated the imparand activities.	ct/success of stakeholder-initiated programs
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs □ Report on the assessment / monitoring and evaluation of stakeholder-initiated program and/or activity (at least 1 program / activity)	Additional MOVs ☐ Report on the assessment / monitoring and evaluation of stakeholder-initiated programs and/or activities (for 2 or more programs / activities) ☐ SIP, AIP, SRC, SMEA, and School Project Monitoring Reports
I	FI6C	Other Sub-Indicator	
	YES NO	The SGC has established linkages with other stathe School Head.	keholders and/or referred potential partners to
		If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
		Minimum MOVs □ SGC resolution on the referral of the identified potential partner (at least 1 partner)	Additional MOVs SGC resolution on the referral of the identified potential partner (2 or more partners) Copy of the MOA, DOD, DOA, etc., reflecting the name (s of the referred partner (s (at least 1 partner))

FI7 **FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 7** THE SGC HAS RECOMMENDED POLICIES AND PROGRAMS TO THE LOCAL SCHOOL YES NO BOARD (LSB) TO STRENGTHEN RELATIONSHIP WITH THE LGU. A functional SGC has informed the LGU / community of the needs of the school to strengthen relationship and seek If **YES**, prepare the following MOVs: **Minimum MOVs Additional MOVs** □ SGC Resolution recommending the SIP to LSB; **or** □ Proof of endorsement of the SGC Resolution to the SDS and transmittal to the LSB Any document recommending policy / program to the LSB, based on the SIP

For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

FI8	FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 8	
YES NO	THE SUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF STORE AND THE ENGLISHED	
	If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
	Minimum MOVs □ SGC Resolution on involving various sectors	Additional MOVs ☐ Official list of members with expanded membership (inclusive and diverse in terms of age, gender, religion, ethnicity, and political beliefs) ☐ SGC Resolution on inclusiveness, diversity, equity, and accessibility

MAIN PURPOSE: FEEDBACK MECHANISM

As a feedback mechanism, the SGC shall be a feedback link between the school stakeholders (learners, parents, and other school stakeholders) and school management (school head and personnel) on school performance and service quality.

FUNCTION 1:

The SGC helps the school improve and sustain its feedback system by strengthening practices that promote stakeholders' participation.

FUNCTIONALITY INDICATORS (FI)
9 and 10

FI9	FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 9	
□ YES □ NO	STAKEHOLDER CONVERGENCE, SOSA, AN ACTIVITIES AND INITIATIVES.	GENERAL ASSEMBLIES, PTA CONFERENCES, D/OR OTHER STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT ong school internal and external stakeholders in order to gather namendations.
	If YES , prepare the following MOVs: Minimum MOVs □ SGC Report on the issues / concerns raised during school activities / events	Additional MOVs ☐ Minutes of Meetings (SGC meetings) where issues / concerns are discussed ☐ Photo documentation of school activities / events

	Minimum MOVs □ Documentation of the organized / conducted program	Additional MOVs Documentation of the organized / conducted program
	If YES , prepare the following MOVs:	
FI10 YES NO	FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 10 THE SGC HAS ORGANIZED DISCUSSIONS AND STAKEHOLDERS TO ENGAGE AND PARTICIPA functional SGC has established a platform for communicate engage, participate, and provide feedback which will be used.	ATE. ion where school internal and external stakeholders can
	school activities y events	□ Photo documentation of school activities / events

For Secondary Schools • 2023 Rollout

FUNCTION 2:

The SGC assists the school in ensuring transparency in its operations and performance, specifically school programs and resources management.

FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR (FI)

11

FI11 **FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 11**

THE SGC HAS ASSISTED THE SCHOOL IN COMMUNICATING INFORMATION TO THE SCHOOL STAKEHOLDERS THROUGH THE SRC, TRANSPARENCY BOARD, ETC..

A functional SGC has promoted transparency by utilizing various communication platforms to make school data and information accessible to school stakeholders.

FI11A PRIMARY SUB-INDICATOR

YES The SGC has promoted access to school data and information through Transparency NO Board, SRC, and other reports on operations and performance of school programs and resource management.

If YES, prepare the following MOVs:

Minimum MOVs

- SGC Resolution on access to information (school data and information)
- □ SGC's Action Plan on promoting access to information

Additional MOVs

- Advocacy plan on the school's use of the Transparency Board, SRC, and other reports to access school data
- School Head's endorsement on the use of the Transparency Board, SRC, and other reports to access school data
- □ Photo Documentation of the transparency board or bulletin board

NOTE If the Primary Sub-Indicator is not met (NO), no need to comply with the Other Sub-Indicators.

FI11B Other Sub-Indicator

□ **YES** The SGC has established alternative communication platform/s (e.g., social media, such as but not limited to Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram, email or text blast, etc.) where information regarding SGC announcements and activities can be accessed from.

If YES, prepare the following MOVs:

Minimum MOVs

□ SGC Resolution on the use of approved alternative communication platform/s

Additional MOVs

- □ SGC's Alternative Communication Platform (with regular updates):
 - Online (Link/s):
 - Website:
 - Facebook Page / Group: 0
 - Other Platform/s:
 - · Offline (Copy of printed materials such as newsletters, posters, pamphlets, tarpaulin banners, etc.)

FUNCTION 3:

NO

The SGC recommends ways of improving the quality of basic education services and school performance to the school management and school planning team, and its means of respecting, protecting, fulfilling, and promoting children's rights in the education context.

FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR (FI)

FUNCTIONALITY INDICATOR 12 FI12

	YES	THE SGC HAS SUGGESTED WAYS OF IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF SIP, AIP, AND OTHER
\Box	NO	DEPEN PROCRAMS PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES

A functional SGC has communicated feedback and suggestions to school management in order to improve accomplishment of SIP, AIP, and other programs, projects, and activities.

If YES, prepare the following MOVs:

Minimum MOVs

□ SGC Resolution on the recommendation on ways of improving the quality of SIP, AIP, and other DepEd programs, projects, and activities (at least 1)

Additional MOVs


□ SGC Resolutions on the recommendations on ways of improving the quality of SIP, AIP, and other DepEd programs, projects, and activities (2 or more)

REVISED PROCESS FLOW OF THE DATA COLLECTION AND REPORT GENERATION OF THE SGC FUNCTIONALITY ASSESSMENT TOOL

To ensure smooth implementation of the tool, procedures on the Data Collection and Report Generation are stated below:

General Process Flow

The General Process flow below shall be broken-down per Governance Level to better guide the Central Office (CO), Regional Offices (RO), Schools Division Offices (SDO), and schools of their roles and responsibilities regarding the accomplishment of the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool.










Telephone Nos.: 8633-7206 Email Address: usec.hrod@deped.gov.ph

Doc. Ref. Code	PAWIM-F-018	Rev	00	l
Effectivity	09.20.21	Page	1 of 5	l



Central Office




The Central Office shall create the Google Forms version of the SGC Functionality **Assessment Tool** and shall disseminate the link to the ROs through e-mail.


The CO shall validate the data submitted by the SGCs in select Public Secondary Schools after the roll-out of the tool through field visit. The field visit to select schools intends to check and ensure the validity of the Means of Verifications (MOVs) submitted by the SGCs.

The CO shall consolidate and analyze the validated data submitted by the SDOs and certified by the ROs using the certified Report No. 3- RO Monitoring Tool. This shall be used as reference in identifying strategies and interventions to further strengthen the implementation of the policy.





Regional Office



The RO shall share the link of the Google Forms version of the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool.

The RO shall reorganize the **RO SGC Composite Team** who shall monitor and verify the results submitted by the SDOs. Using the **SGC Functionality Assessment Reports** (**SGCFAT Reports**), they can monitor the accomplishment of the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool in their region. It contains the sheets of **Report 2**: **SDO Validation Tool and Report 3**: **RO Monitoring Tool** for reference. The team shall be composed of the following:


Chair Assistant Regional Director		
Members	3-5 members including the Regional School-Based Management Coordinator	

If deemed necessary, ROs may add member/s to the composite team.


The Report No. 3 - RO Monitoring Tool shall be monitored by the RO Composite Team. The RO must certify Report No. 3 - RO Monitoring Tool as correct and accurate.

The **Certification** shall be submitted to the CO through Google Forms using this link: **bit.ly/2023SGC-ROSubmissionForm**. A template is provided for reference and can be accessed in this link: **bit.ly/2023SGC-ROCert**.





Schools Division Office



The SDO shall inform the schools to accomplish the **SGC Functionality Assessment Tool** using the Google Form link provided.

The SDOs shall reorganize the SDO Composite Team who shall validate and verify the data submitted by the SGCs. The team shall be composed of the following:

Chair	Assistant Schools Division Superintendent
	3-5 members including the SDO School-Based Management Coordinator

If deemed necessary, SDOs may add member/s to the composite team.

The SDO Composite Team shall have access to the **SGC Functionality Assessment** Reports (SGCFAT Reports) and use the Report 2 - SDO Validation Tool to validate the accuracy of data submitted by the schools via Google Forms through checking of the Means of Verifications (MOVs). They may conduct a field visit if necessary.


The re-accomplishment of the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool is allowed. The CO shall update the Report 2-SDO Validation Tool every Friday to display the latest MOV submission of the schools.

The SDO must refer to the SGC Re-accomplishment Monitoring Sheet (RMS) to identify schools who re-accomplished the SGC Tool, and to update the status of the validation of the MOVs in the Report 2- SDO Validation Tool.

Upon validation, the SDO shall submit the **certification** of the accomplished Report No. 2 – SDO Validation Tool to the RO via e-mail.









Schools

1. PREPARE

The SGCs in Public Secondary
Schools shall prepare and ensure the
quality and correctness of the MOVs
needed per indicator before
uploading.


The **Designated Co-Chairperson** shall facilitate the accomplishment of the tool. He/she shall ensure that members of the SGC and the School Head are consulted in answering the tool.

2. ACCOMPLISH

The SGC Functionality Assessment Tool shall be accomplished by the SGCs in Public Secondary Schools via Google Form that can be accessed in this link: bit.ly/2023SGCFAT.

All SGCs of Public Secondary Schools must prepare and ensure the quality and correctness of the MOVs before uploading. Once finalized, they shall answer the Google Forms version of the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool using this link: **bit.ly/2023SGCFAT**. Upon the accomplishment of the tool, the Designated Co-Chairperson shall facilitate and ensure that the council and the school head are properly consulted.





Enclosure No. 3 to DM-OUHROD-2024-0696


Report 2: SDO Validation Tool

Description:

- 1. Validate the MOVs submitted by the schools (For the SDO only)
- 2. Determine the Status of each school (Functional, Unvalidated, No Submission, Not Yet Functional)
- 3. Determine the Final Score of each school regarding their SGC Functionality Status (10/12 = FUNCTIONAL); and,
- 4. Determine the Number of Functional SGCs (based on Validated Data) in the Division.


Instructions on how to validate:


- 1. Go to Report 2: SDO Validation Tool;
- 2. Go to Functionality Indicator 1 columns;
- 3. Click the link to the MOV.
- 4. Check and assess if the MOV submitted by the school is correct;
- 5. If the MOV satisfies the minimum requirement for the Sub-indicator, tick box under "Valid"
 - If the MOV does not satisfy the minimum requirement for the Sub-indicator, tick the box under "Invalid"
- 6. Every valid MOV for Primary Sub-Indicators counts as 1 in the Final Score. To be FUNCTIONAL SGC, the school must accomplish 10/12 VALID Primary-Sub-Indicators.



This is just a printed copy of the tool for reference purposes only. Please do not use this as an official tool for submission to Central Office.









Enclosure No. 4 to DM-OUHROD-2024-0696

Re-accomplishment Monitoring Tool

Description:

1. Determine who among the schools resubmit the MOVs.

Instructions on how to use the RMS:

- 1. The BHROD-SED shall update the Report 2: SDO Validation Tool every Friday to display the latest MOV submission of the schools.
- 2. The SDOs must refer to the SGC Re-accomplishment Monitoring Sheet (RMS) to identify schools who re-accomplished the SGC Tool.
- 3. If the school's corresponding tick boxes has mark, it means that the school has resubmitted its MOV. If none, then the school did not resubmit.
- 4. If the SDOs have already made an initial validation to the schools' submission, the SDOs are required to update the status of the validation of the MOVs based on the most recent submission.
- 5. The sheet is protected and for viewing only. Only the Central Office can make changes on this sheet.

REACCOMPLISHMENT MONITORING SHEET


Region

Click Here for Instructions


This	sheet is protecte	d and for view	ing purposes only.							
No.	Division	School ID	School Name	March 8	April 26	May 3	May 10	May 17	May 24	May 29
1										
				1						
				$+$ \dashv						
						片	H		H	H
				+						H
				1 11	ä	ä	H		H	H
				1 2	H	H	H		H	H
				1 1			H		H	H
				1 7	H	H	ñ	ň	H	H
						ă		ō		- i
				1 6	ä	ō	i i	ī	ī	
				1 6					ā	ā

This is just a printed copy of the tool for reference purposes only. Please do not use this as an official tool for submission to Central Office.









Rev Effectivity 09.20.21 Page 2 of 3




Report 3: RO Monitoring Tool

Description:

1. Determine the completion status of the Region or each SDO.


Instructions on how to use the Report 3: Monitoring Tool:

- 1. Check the Regional Completion Rate on the schools' accomplishment on the SGC Functionality Assessment Tool.
- 2. Check the Total Number of Functional SGCs in the Region; and,
- 3. Monitor the Submission Rate of each Division in the Region.



This is just a printed copy of the tool for reference purposes only. Please do not use this as an official tool for submission to Central Office.







SCHEDULE OF THE RESUMPTION OF THE DATA COLLECTION, VALIDATION AND REPORT GENERATION OF THE TOOL

For the timeline of activities this year, kindly refer to the table below:

DATE	ACTIVITY				
April 16, 2024 -	Resumption of the Accomplishment of the SGC Functionality				
June 21, 2024	Assessment Tool and Validation of the Report 2: SDO Validation Tool				
May 7-8, 2024	7th Progress Check				
June 4-5, 2024	8th Progress Check				
	Conclusion of Roll-Out of the SGC Functionality Assessment				
	Tool SY 2023-2024				
	Deadline of SDO Certification of the Accomplished Report 2:				
June 21, 2024	SDO Validation Tool				
	(to be submitted to the RO)				
July 19, 2024	Deadline of RO Certification that certifies Report 3: RO				
	Monitoring Tool correct and accurate.				
	Template: bit.ly/2023SGC-ROCert				
	Certification Submission Form:				
	bit.ly/2023SGC-ROSubmissionForm				



